# PART 1: GATHER/EVALUATE INFORMATION TO INFORM PLANNING DISCUSSIONS

• Evaluate & discuss student learning and achievement data from the previous academic year. (Student-focused dialogue; i.e., "what are our students doing?")

## Notes about this activity:

- Coordinated by College Council, with participation from Academic Senate and Advisory Groups
- Conversation results in contextualization of performance gaps and categories of institutional need that emerge from examination of quantitative and qualitative data

## Data under consideration would include:

- Student achievement information (e.g., institution-set standards)
- Student learning information (e.g., program reflections)
- Evaluate & discuss progress towards institutional goals and objectives. (Institution-focused dialogue; i.e., "what is the institution doing?")

### Notes about this activity:

- Coordinated by College Council, with participation from Academic Senate and Advisory Groups
- Conversation results in recommendations to adjust or update institutional objectives & EMP initiatives if necessary (updates could be to timelines, measures, or point people as well as to outcome of objective/initiative)

### Data under consideration would include:

- Strategic initiatives from the Education Master Plan
- Institutional goals and objectives
- Evaluate & discuss information about the previous year's budget and resource allocation (Internally focused dialogue; i.e., "how well did our process work last year?")

### Notes about this activity:

- Coordinated within Budget Committee
- Results in report/presentation to College Council Budget subcommittee evaluates previous year's budget (revenue projections, actuals, etc.), timelines, and process and reports findings to College Council

### Data under consideration would include:

- Revenue projections vs. actual spending
- Projected timelines vs. actuals
- Budget process
- Gather & share information about external factors that will inform budget development (Externally-focused dialogue; e.g., "what is the State/CCCCO/market doing?")

### Notes about this activity:

- Led by Superintendent/President
- Strong input from PVP and managers [and Budget Committee?]
- Activity results in "planning assumptions" that can be communicated to the Budget Committee and campus at-large

### Data under consideration would include

- State budget/economic projections
- Regulatory changes
- Enrollment trends
- Relevant local demographic & labor market trends/data
- Broad categories of needs emerging from program reflections summaries

### PART 2: ALLOCATE RESOURCES BASED ON PRIORITIZED AREAS OF NEED

### • Prepare Annual Updates/Action Plans.

### Notes about this activity:

- Done within each unit on campus (both instructional and non-instructional), as well as for EMP Strategic Initiatives.
- Activity results in completed action plans that describe programmatic and institutional needs.

### • Begin discussing resource allocation priorities.

### Notes about this activity:

- Advisory Groups review Action Plans and ensure unit needs are incorporated into planning and prioritization discussions at College Council
- Budget Committee provides context about external factors as needed to support the discussion

#### Data about needs comes from:

- Institutional Review Action Plans/Annual Updates
- Program Review Action Plans/Annual Updates
- Annual Course Schedule for upcoming academic year
- College Council/Advisory Group discussions about broad categories of need
- Planning Assumptions from S/P
- Updated external information from CBO and Budget Committee

### • Recommend resource allocation priorities to S/P.

### Notes about this activity:

- VPs work together with Budget Managers to ensure administrative review of budget development and consistency with College Council recommendations
- Budget Committee provides context about external factors as needed
- Complete budget and present to Board of Trustees for approval.