

## College Council Minutes- DRAFT

Tuesday, October 19, 2010

2:30 p.m.,

Karas Room

College Council Members: Doug Garrison, Carsbia Anderson, John Gonzalez, Michael Gilmartin, Steve Ma, Julie Bailey, Gary Bolen, Steve Morgan, Mark Clements, Nancy Goehring, Brenda Lee Kalina, Stephanie Perkins, Fred Hochstaedter, Carolyn Hansen, Alan Haffa, Lyndon Schutzler, Loren Walsh (CSEA Rep.) Suzanne Ammons, ASMPC Pres. (vacant), Will Adams, ASMPC
---

**Absent:** Steve Ma, Gary Bolen, Steve Morgan, ASMPC Pres. (vacant)

**Campus Community Comments:** None

1) **Minutes – October 5, 2010:** Approved with one abstention.

2) **Action Items (see available handouts) :**

a) **Institutional Goals (Assessment and New) 1<sup>st</sup> Reading 11/16/10, 2<sup>nd</sup> Reading 12/7/10:** Alan reported that the subcommittee consisting of Barbara Lee, Michael Gilmartin, Carsbia Anderson, Alan Haffa, Julie Bailey, John Gonzalez and Fred Hochstaedter continues its work.

b) **Mission Statement Update (due fall 2010—2<sup>nd</sup> reading).** 1<sup>st</sup> Reading on October 5. In this discussion, the group's consensus supported that the mission statement accurately captures what the college is doing and continues to do. Alan called for a motion to approve.

*College Council recommends retaining the current mission statement.* A motion was made, moved, seconded and approved with none opposed. This information is intended to go forward to the Open Forum planned for the week of November 29, along with the information on the Institutional goals and Objectives.

3) **Board Policy Revisions:** <http://mympc.mpc.edu/Committees/PACC/default.aspx>.

a) **BP 1040 Closed Sessions of the Governing Board (1st reading):** Alan presented an overview of how standard Open and Closed session items are to be handled according the Brown Act, including more specifically matters of confidentiality such as legal dealings, real estate transactions where closed sessions are warranted. The group will return for a 2<sup>nd</sup> reading at its normally scheduled next meeting of November 2.

4) **Information Items (see available handouts):**

a) **Institutional Goals -Assessment of 2007-10 Goals (update/progress from subcommittee):** Alan reported on the progress made by this subcommittee and he shared minutes from their October 18<sup>th</sup> meeting. In addition he shared a handout "**Potential Revised Institutional Goals and Objectives**" which outlined proposed changes, commentaries or questions. In the discussion that followed the group shared some of the challenges encountered in proposing changes to the goals. In some instances, where progress made has become integral to institutional processes themselves, restatement of such goals appears redundant. In other instances where goals identified are ongoing and never actually completed, it was contemplated that perhaps they could be identified or tied to core values. Consensus was reached that an objective be added to our existing G & O's for the establishment of core values to our G & O's.

Alan reconfirmed plans to first approve the Assessments of the 2007-10 Goals and Objectives, then decide if revisions are needed for inclusion into the next set of Goals and Objectives.

b) **Student Success Conference and Vision 2020 (Fred Hochstaedter):** Fred reminded members of the accreditation team recommendation as follows:

*1. In order to meet the Commission's 2012 deadline and building upon the progress made in identifying student learning outcomes for nearly all courses, program, certificates and degrees, the team recommends that the college complete the process of assessment to guide improvement of student learning (IIA.1 and IIA.2).*

He then gave a very informative presentation of the Student Success Conference and Vision 2020 he attended recently. Upon sharing his conference experiences with the group today, the ensuing discussions suggested that MPC's approach to SLOs is "the value within the dialogue". One way to address the recommendations is by elevating the eminence of Program Review. It was also emphasized that program review--required every six years--has frequently been regarded as an onerous undertaking; in reality it is ongoing and incorporated through varied incentive based processes such as the Planning and Resource Allocation Process. It should be noted however, that as with Action Plans processes, whether resources are made available or not should not be regarded or interpreted as a direct measurement of that program's success.

It was agreed that we need to recognize and broaden the perception of how program review is an ongoing and integrated process. Consensus was reached that a good path to take would be to "elevate the eminence of program review". To engage a wider audience in dialog on this topic, Fred Hochstaedter could visit divisions during their division meetings and give brief presentations.

**Faculty Replacement Positions:**

a)

**Classified Replacement Positions:**

a)

**5) Other:**

a) **Committee Reports-**

**Next meeting—(Nov 2)**