**College Council Agenda**

May 29, 2012

**2:30 pm**

Karas Room, LTC

|  |
| --- |
| College Council Members: Doug Garrison, Carsbia Anderson, Celine Pinet, Steve Ma, Michael Gilmartin, Julie Bailey, Gary Bolen, Mark Clements, Jonathan Osburg, Stephanie Perkins,Fred Hochstaedter, Adria Gerard, Alan Haffa, Lyndon Schutzler, Loren Walsh, Amelia Hellam, Kali Viker, Suzanne Ammons, ASMPC Rep. Steve Alavi (Pres. position vacant), ASMPC Rep. Samantha Baldwin) |

**Campus Community Comments**:

1. **Minutes – May 15, 2012:**
2. **Action Items (see available handouts):**
	* 1. **Tentative Budget 2012-13** (1st reading- Steve Ma):
		2. **Technology Committee Bylaws** (1st reading – Steve Ma):
		3. **Distance Ed. Follow up Report #2 to Rec #4 from ACCJC,** (2nd reading-Judee Timm):
		4. **SLO Response to ACCJC Recs #1-3** (2nd reading-Fred Hochstaedter):

\*(see also handout “Program Review and Reflections Summary)

* + 1. **Education Master Plan** – (2nd reading Celine Pinet):
		2. **Math Learning Ctr. –Instructional Spec.** (p/time – 1st Reading, Celine):
		3. **Resolution: Ft. Ord Reuse Plan Reassessment** (1st Reading, Dr. Garrison):
1. **Information Items (see available handouts)*:***
	* 1. **Ft. Ord Center Needs Study (Dr. Garrison):**
		2. **Faculty Role in Instructional Technology Decision Making**

 **Whereas, Faculty are responsible for instructing and providing services to students;**

**Whereas, Faculty decide the pedagogical strategies best suited to student learning;**

**Whereas, Faculty manage their instructional environments;**

**Resolved that the MPC faculty insist on direct input in decision making on technology-related issues that impact instruction and delivery of curriculum in our classes (e.g. online course management systems, such as iLearn).**

1. **Discussion items for *future* meeting:**
	* 1. **MPC Technology Vision/Challenges:**
		2. **Board Policy Revisions:** [***http://mympc.mpc.edu/Committees/PACC/default.aspx***](http://mympc.mpc.edu/Committees/PACC/default.aspx)***.***
2. **BP 3040 – Community Service** (2nd reading— pending Community Ed Task Force).

**c) Action Plans (late spring?)**

**d) SIS – How well is it working (input from DOMS, end users, A&R etc.**

1. **Other:**
2. **Committee Reports-**