Curriculum Advisory Committee Agenda

November 15, 2023 (3:00 - 5:00 pm in BMC 201)

	Committee	e Men	nbers
\checkmark	Richard Abend, ESL	\checkmark	Keith Eubanks, Humanities
\checkmark	He Seon Ihn, Articulation Officer	✓	Lynn Iwamoto, Physical Sciences
\checkmark	LaRon Johnson, Counseling/Student Services	✓	Lynn Kragelund, Nursing
\checkmark	Princess Gilbert, Life Science	✓	Gamble Madsen, Chairperson/Creative Arts
\checkmark	Erin O'Hare, Physical Education, Kinesiology	✓	Glenn Tozier, Library
\checkmark	John Olsen, Business and Technology/CSIS	✓	Rachel Whitworth, Social Sciences
	Miriam Hernandez, Student Representative		

Guests: Dawn Rae Davis, Jeannie Kim, Carrie Ballard

I. Call to Order 3:05 pm

II. Public Comment

Dawn Rae Davis spoke (3:06 – 3:11 pm), continuing the Public Comment that was initially offered on November 8th. The following written statement was provided by the Speaker to Gamble Madsen via email 11/27/23:

Gamble invited me to finish my statement from last week. Thank you. I appreciate your time and consideration. You know, if I was you I might think HIST's reference to all this so-called "mediation" an indication that working with GWOS was so consistently impossible, constant "mediation" was necessary. I hope those of you know me and have worked with me on various things don't hold that view of me. HIST refused to meet/discuss with me one on one throughout last year and demanded both our Dean and Division Chair participate in all meetings and communications related to the course, starting with our first dialogue. The HIST Chair wanted to establish rules for our deliberative process related to course scheduling; I agreed to some rules; I did not agree to two rules; both of those were inequitable, and one required me to give control for decisions for 20% of my regular load, what modality I taught, over to the HIST Chair; I said no to that rule. The HIST Chair repeated these preference during a May meeting with Dr. Knolle in which we discussed collaborative process. Three days later, HIST informed me of intent to uncross list [GWOS 12 and HIST 12] and submitted the proposal an hour later.

I respect that this is a curriculum development committee, under purview of MPC faculty colleagues and not a personnel arbitration committee (where Departments bring their dissatisfaction with time management or with emails or with one Department continually requiring participation of both a Dean and Division Chair for regular Department business), or a committee that determines whether a process Departments use internally for deliberating schedule recommendations is good or bad or whether Departments 100% agreed on their internal process.

To be clear: every course schedule recommended by Departments since my colleague took over Chair duties of History was agreed on (one staffing recommendation was not, but our Dean resolved that); and each schedule approved by our Dean represented the HIST Department's scheduling preferences. We never discussed substantial changes to scheduling; neither Department said they wanted to make substantial changes to course scheduling; and none of the schedules we recommended made substantial changes to those of prior years. This committee has debated and formally voted to approve a set of procedural requirements for cross-listed revision proposals. According to the Guideline you approved, when a proposal arrives here for formal review, it's to have been the product of collaboration and conversation between Disciplines, a result of discussion between Departments of whether there is need for revision in reference to four curricular considerations:

Course content and objectives Disciplinary expertise (such as changes within disciplinary areas related to curriculum) Curricular impact to programs How curriculum promotes student success

These considerations were not discussed between Departments. When a proposal arrives here for review, the rationale for revising is to be the result of discussion between Disciplines; the Guideline states the rationale for the proposal "must" be discussed and to separate courses the rationale is to be discussed by both Disciplines. One Department skipping these requirements and instead presenting two rationales to the other Department (and to you) but being unwilling to discuss them with the other Department isn't meeting the requirements of the Guideline. I made an effort to discuss the rationales with the other Department by meeting with the Department and asking if the Chair would tell me what his rationales are referring to: why/how is it "best for History students" and what they mean by "irresolvable disagreements"? My colleague adamantly refused to answer these straightforward questions. I don't understand this secrecy and withholding of relevant information about stated rationales; but I do regard it as obstructing CAC procedural requirements (and frankly, as very unfair to Gender and Women's Studies).

As I shared last week, I've been very involved with this course for almost a decade (teaching five to seven course sections annually and co-managing scheduling since 2014; the HIST Chair began affiliation with the course in September 2022 and does not instruct the course); I believe I'm entitled to obtain some insight as to History's stated rationales for severance. I believe I'm entitled to expect the procedures of the CAC for cross-listed proposals to be followed and for GWOS to be included by the process rather than entirely excluded.

I do sincerely hope the CAC will agendize what action it is appropriate for the CAC to take when CAC procedures [that] the Guideline requires have not been followed. It would be a real shame if all the work everyone put into creating the Guideline is for nothing--right now and in the future—the Guideline was intended to prevent any cross-listed Department from doing whatever it pleases at any time. If the CAC looks the other way and approves a proposal that so clearly has not followed the CAC's procedural requirements, it will mean that the Guideline has nothing to do with anything when it comes right down to it. If that turns out to the case, in my view (and I hope you agree) that's a significant disservice to hard working Department Chairs. Thank you.

III. Announcements

IV. Approval of November 15, 2023 Agenda

Keith motioned to approve, Richard seconded, committee voted aye

V. Approval of November 8, 2023 Minutes

Rachel motioned to approve, John seconded, committee voted aye

VI. Action Agenda

COURSES AND PROGRAMS		C/P	DE	Req
SPAN 1S (Elementary Spanish for Spanish Speakers I)	Revision			

CSU G	E/IGETC Reques	sts	approva	als effective Fall	2024	(to	appear i	in 2024-25 c	atalo	g)
Course	Title	Units	Request Type	CSU-GE Area	Recommend	Other Rec	Request type	IGETC Area	Recommend	Other Rec
ANTH 8	Primate Behavioral Ecology	3	Re- review	D Social Science			Re- review	4 Social Science		
BIOL 21*	Concepts in Biology I: Cells, Genetics, and Organisms	5	Re- review	B2 Life Science B3 Laboratory Activity			Re- review	5B Life Science 5C Science Laboratory		
BIOL 22*	Concepts in Biology II: Diversity, Ecology, and Evolution	5	Re- review	B2 Life Science B3 Laboratory Activity			Re- review	5B Life Science 5C Science Laboratory		
BUSI 39	Breaking the Glass Ceiling: Women in Business Leadership	3	New	C1 Arts C2 Humanities D Social Science F Ethnic Studies	No No No					
BUSI 89	Creative Brand Management	3	New	A3 Critical Thinking C1 Arts C2 Humanities D Social Science F Ethnic Studies	No No No No		New	3A Arts 3B Humanities 4 Social Science 7 Ethnic Studies	NoNoNoNo	
CHEM 1B*	General Chemistry II	5	Re- review	B1 Physical Science B3 Laboratory Activity			Re- review	5A Physical Science 5C Science Laboratory		
CHEM 30A*	Introductory Chemistry for	4	Re- review	B1 Physical Science			Re- review	5A Physical		

	Health						Science	
	Sciences			B3 Laboratory			5C Science	
				Activity			Laboratory	
DANC 1	Introduction to Dance	0.5	New	E Life-Long Learning and Self-				
DANC 6	World Cultural Dance	3		Development		New	3A Arts	
DANC 9	Introduction to Choreography	0.5	New	E Life-Long Learning and Self- Development				
DANC 17A	Tap Dance I	0.5	New	E Life-Long Learning and Self- Development				
ECON 2*	Principles of Economics: Macro	3	Re- review	D Social Science		Re- review	4 Social Science	
ECON 4*	Principles of Economics: Micro	3	Re- review	D Social Science		Re- review	4 Social Science	
ENGL 1A	College Composition	3	Re- review	A1 English Composition		Re- review	1A English Composition	
ENGL 1AE	College Composition: Enhanced	5	Re- review	A1 English Composition		Re- review	1A English Composition	
ENGL 49	Introduction to Novel	3	Re- review	C2 Humanities		Re- review	3B Humanities	
ENGL 63	Introduction to Science Fiction	3	New	C2 Humanities				
ETNC 6	Ethnic Images in Film	3	Re- review	C2 Humanities		Re- review	3B Humanities	
				D Social Science			4 Social Science	
			New	F Ethnic Studies		New	7 Ethnic Studies	
ETNC 10	Introduction to Ethnic	3	Re- review	D Social Science]	Re- review	4 Social Science	
	Studies		New	F Ethnic Studies		New	7 Ethnic Studies	
ETNC 16	American Government	3	Re- review	D Social Science		Re- review	4 Social Science	

	and African American Politics			US2 U.S. Constitution and Government US3 California State and			
ETNC 18	Chicanos in	3	Re- review	Local Government D Social	Re- review	4 Social	
10	American Politics		New	Science F Ethnic Studies	New	Science 7 Ethnic Studies	
			Re- review	US2 U.S.ConstitutionandGovernmentUS3 CaliforniaState andLocalGovernment			
ETNC/ ANTH	Native American and	3	Re- review	D Social Science	Re- review	4 Social Science	
20	Indigenous Peoples of the United States		New	F Ethnic Studies US1 Historical Development of American Institutions/ Ideals	New	7 Ethnic Studies	
ETNC/ SOCI 22	Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders in	3	Re- review	D Social Science F Ethnic Studies	Re- review	4 Social Science 7 Ethnic Studies	
	American Society		New	US3 California State and Local Government			
ETNC/ HIST 23	Chicano History 1848 to Present	3	Re- review	C2 Humanities D Social Science	Re- review	3B Humanities 4 Social Science	
			New	F Ethnic Studies US1 Historical Development of American Institutions/	New	7 Ethnic Studies	

				Ideals			
ETNC/ HIST 24	African Americans in	3	Re- review	C2 Humanities	Re- review	3B Humanities	
11131 24	U.S. History to			D Social		4 Social	
	1877			Science		Science	
	10//			US1 Historical			
				Development			
				of American			
				Institutions/			
				Ideals			
				US2 U.S.			
				Constitution			
				and			
				Government			
ETNC/	African	3	Re- review	C2 Humanities	Re- review	3B	
HIST 25	Americans in		Teview		Teview	Humanities	
	U.S. History 1865 to Present			D Social		4 Social	
				Science		Science	
				US1 Historical			
				Development			
				of American			
				Institutions/			
				Ideals US2 U.S.			
				Constitution			
				and			
				Government			
GWOS 8	Introduction	3 Re-	Re-	C2 Humanities	New	3B	
	to LGBTQ+	5	review	C2 muniameres	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	Humanities	
	Studies			D Social		4 Social	
				Science		Science	
GWOS 9	Sports and	3			New	4 Social	
	Social Justice					Science	
JPNS 1A	Elementary	5	Re-	C2 Humanities	Re-	6A	
	Japanese I		review		review	Language	
						Other	
						Than	
						English	
JPNS 1B	Elementary	5	Re- review	C2 Humanities	Re- review	6A	
	Japanese II		IEVIEW		leview	Language	
						Other	
						Than	
1110 10						English	
LING 18	Introduction	3			New	4 Social	
	to Linguistic					Science	
	Anthropology						

MATH 10*	Mathematics for General Education	4	Re- review	B4 Math/ Quantitative Reasoning		Re- review	2A Math Concepts/ Quantitative Reasoning		
MATH 12*	Number Systems	4	Re- review	B4 Math/ Quantitative Reasoning					
MATH 13*	Pre-Calculus	4	Re- review	B4 Math/ Quantitative Reasoning		Re- review	2A Math Concepts/ Quantitative Reasoning		
MATH 13A*	College Algebra for STEM	4	Re- review	B4 Math/ Quantitative Reasoning		New	2A Math Concepts/ Quantitative Reasoning		
MATH 16*	Elementary Statistics	4	Re- review	B4 Math/ Quantitative Reasoning		New	2A Math Concepts/ Quantitative Reasoning		
MATH 17*	Finite Math	4	Re- review	B4 Math/ Quantitative Reasoning		New	2A Math Concepts/ Quantitative Reasoning		
MATH 18*	Calculus and Analytic Geometry for Biology/ Social Science/ Business	4	Re- review	B4 Math/ Quantitative Reasoning		New	2A Math Concepts/ Quantitative Reasoning		
MATH 20A	Calculus with Analytic Geometry I	5	Re- review	B4 Math/ Quantitative Reasoning		New	2A Math Concepts/ Quantitative Reasoning		
MUSI 5	Introduction to World Music	3	New	C2 Humanities	No	New	3A Arts	No	
PFIT 24A	Aqua Aerobics I	1	New	E Life-Long Learning and Self- Development					
PFIT 24B	Aqua Aerobics II	1	New	E Life-Long Learning and Self- Development					
PHED 10A	Flag Football I	1	New	E Life-Long Learning and Self- Development					
PHED 10B	Flag Football II	1	New	E Life-Long Learning and Self-					

				Development				
PHED 24C	Beach Volleyball III	1	New	E Life-Long Learning and Self- Development				
PHED 24D	Beach Volleyball IV	1	New	E Life-Long Learning and Self- Development				
POLS 5	Politics of Developing Countries	3	Re- review	D Social Science		Re- review	4 Social Science	
POLS 20	Introduction to Law and Society	3	New	D Social Science		New	4 Social Science	
POLS 21	Ethics in Practice	3	New	C2 Humanities D Social Science	No	New	3B Humanities 4 Social Science	No
PSYC 6	Psychology of Women	3	Re- review	D Social Science E Life-Long Learning and Self- Development		New	4 Social Science	
PSYC/ SOCI 19*	Introduction to Statistics for the Social Sciences	4	Re- review	B4 Math/ Quantitative Reasoning		New	2A Math Concepts/ Quantitative Reasoning	
SOCI 1	Introduction to Sociology	3	Re- review	D Social Science E Life-Long Learning and Self- Development		New	4 Social Science	
SOCI 2	Contemporary Social Problems	3	Re- review	D Social Science		Re- review	4 Social Science	
SPAN 1S	Elementary Spanish for Spanish Speakers I	5	Re- review	C2 Humanities				

The Curriculum Advisory Committee (CAC) will receive public comments as indicated below.

- Anyone wishing to address the Committee on matters not listed on the agenda, and within the jurisdiction of the Committee, may do so during this public comment period.

Anyone wishing to address the Committee on an agenda item may do so when that item is called.
Speakers addressing the Committee are not required to state their name or address, though it is helpful if a name is given so the Committee and others present may identify the speaker.
Comments will be limited to three minutes, or as established by the Committee.

Matters not appearing on the agenda will not receive action at this meeting, but may be referred to the Committee for consideration at a future meeting.

SPAN 1S

Keith motioned to approve, Rachel seconded, committee voted aye for Course revision John motioned, Lynn I seconded, committee voted aye for DE

Re-review for CSU GE Area C2 approved: Keith motioned, John seconded, committee voted aye

The following courses created revisions only to accommodate changes required to their prerequisites by AB 1705 and were approved for their CSU GE/IGETC Areas as a group:

Glenn motioned, Rachel seconded, committee voted aye

BIOL 21 (B2, B3; 5B, 5C) BIOL 22 (B2, B3; 5B, 5C) CHEM 1B (B1, B3; 5A, 5C) CHEM 30A (B1, B3; 5A, 5C) ECON 2 (D; 4) ECON 4 (D; 4) MATH 10 (B4; 2A) MATH 12 (B4) MATH 13 (B4; 2A) MATH 13A (B4; 2A – New review) MATH 16 (B4; 2A – New review) MATH 17 (B4; 2A – New review) MATH 18 (B4; 2A – New review) PSYC 19/SOCI 19 (B4; 2A – New review)

The following courses were reviewed and approved as a group:

Keith motioned to approve for CSU GE Area F and IGETC Area 7, John seconded, committee voted aye Glenn motioned to approve for CSU GE Area D and IGETC Area 4, Rachel seconded, committee voted aye Keith motioned to approve for all other CSU GE and IGETC Area requests, Rachel seconded, committee voted aye

ETNC 6 (C2 and 3B; D and 4; F and 7) ETNC 10 (D and 4; F and 7) ETNC 16 (D and 4; US2; US3) ETNC 18 (D and 4; F and 7; US2; US3) ETNC 20/ANTH 20 (D and 4; F and 7; US1) ETNC 22/ATNH 22 (D and 4; F and 7; US3) ETNC 23/HIST 23 (C2 and 3B; D and 4; F and 7; US1) **ETNC 24/HIST 24** (C2 and 3B; D and 4; US1; US2) **ETNC 25/HIST 25** (C2 and 3B; D and 4; US1; US2)

The following courses were newly reviewed for CSU GE Area E and approved as a group:

PFIT 24A PFIT 24B PHED 10A PHED 10B PHED 24C PHED 24D

BUSI 39 and BUSI 89

Keith motioned to <u>deny</u> recommendation for all CSU GE and IGETC Area requests, Richard seconded, committee voted aye – with Princess opposed

- BUSI 39 (C1, C2, D, and F)

- BUSI 89 (A3; C1 and 3A; C2 and 3B; D and 4; F and 7)

ANTH 8: Re-review for CSU GE Area D and IGETC Area 4 approved – Rachel motioned, Glenn seconded, committee voted aye

DANC 1: New review for CSU GE Area E approved – Glenn motioned, John seconded, committee voted aye

DANC 6: New review for IGETC Area 3A approved – Glenn motioned, John seconded, committee voted aye

DANC 9: New review for CSU GE Area E approved – Glenn motioned, John seconded, committee voted aye

DANC 17A: New review for CSU GE Area E approved – Glenn motioned, John seconded, committee voted aye

ENGL 1A and **ENGL 1AE**: Re-reviews for CSU GE Area A1 and IGETC Area 1A approved – Keith motioned, John seconded, committee voted aye

ENGL 49: Re-review for CSU GE Area C2 and IGETC Area 3B approved – Glenn motioned, John seconded, committee voted aye

ENGL 63: New review for CSU GE Area C2 approved – Rachel motioned, Keith seconded, committee voted aye

GWOS 8: Re-reviews for CSU GE Areas C2 and D, new reviews for IGETC Areas 3B and 4 approved – Rachel motioned, Keith seconded, committee voted aye

GWOS 9: New review for IGETC Area 4 approved – Rachel motioned, Keith seconded, committee voted aye **JPNS 1A** and **JPNS 1B**: Re-reviews for CSU GE Area C2 and IGETC Area 6A approved – Keith motioned, John seconded, committee voted aye

LING 18: New review for IGETC Area 4 approved: Rachel motioned, Glenn seconded, committee voted aye

MATH 20A: Re-review for CSU GE Area B4, new review for IGETC Area 2A approved – Keith motioned, John seconded, committee voted aye

MUSI 5:

- Keith motioned to deny approval for CSU GE Area C2, Glenn seconded, committee voted aye
- Keith motioned to <u>deny</u> approval for IGETC Area 3A, Lynn I seconded, committee voted aye

POLS 5: Re-review for CSU GE Area D and IGETC Area 4 approved – Keith motioned, John seconded, committee voted aye

POLS 20: New review for CSU GE Area D and IGETC Area 4 approved – Rachel motioned, Glenn seconded, committee voted aye

POLS 21:

- Keith motioned to <u>deny</u> new approvals for CSU GE Area C2 and IGETC Area 3B, John seconded, committee voted aye

- New review for CSU GE Area D and IGETC Area 4 approved – Rachel motioned, Glenn seconded, committee voted aye

PSYC 6:

- Re-review for CSU GE Area D and new review for IGETC Area 4 approved – Glenn motioned, Rachel seconded, committee voted aye

- Re-review for CSU GE Area E approved – Rachel motioned, Keith seconded, committee voted aye

SOCI 1:

- Re-review for CSU GE Area D and new review for IGETC Area 4 approved – Rachel motioned, Keith seconded, committee voted aye

- Re-review for CSU GE Area E approved – LaRon motioned, Rachel seconded, committee voted aye

SOCI 2: Re-review for CSU GE Area D and IGETC Area 4 approved – Rachel motioned, Keith seconded, committee voted aye