Members:			
✔	Suzanne Ammons (minutes, resource)	✔	Jon Knolle (Interim VP Academic Affairs)
✔	Grace Anongchanya (Faculty)	✔	David Martin (Tri-Chair, Interim-Supt./Pres.)
✔	Wendy Bates (Tri-Chair, Faculty)		Eric Maximoff (CSEA)
	Lauren Blanchard (MPCTA Rep.)	✔	Erik McDonald (CSEA)
✔	Diane Boynton (Dean)	✔	Stephanie Perkins (Tri-Chair, CSEA)
✔	Adria Gerard (Academic Senate President)	✔	Deidre Sullivan (Faculty)
	Margot Grych (Faculty)		Francisco Tostado (MSC)
✔	Steve Haigler (Interim VP, Administrative Services)	✔	Larry Walker (VP Student Services)
✔	Kevin Haskin (CSEA Rep.)		ASMPC Pre. (vacant)
✔	Jeannie Kim (Faculty)		ASMPC Rep. (vacant

Guests: Sam Phillips, Victoria Phillips, Bob Pacheco, JoRene Finnell, Kendra Cabrera, Lori Pappageprgas, Mike Midkiff, Kim Kingsgold

Item	Topic / Discussions / Comments	Action / Recommendation
1.	**Welcome -**David welcomed all.	
2.	**Campus community comments -** Stephanie invited comments. Members provided the following: No comments	
3.	**Approval of August 25, 2020 Agenda -** Stephanie invited input.	Approval / Consensus
4.	**Approval of minutes:** a) **August 11, 2020 Minutes** - Stephanie invited input/comments.	Approval / Consensus
5.	**Positions** (None at this time.)	Understanding of staffing needs

6.	**Facilities Naming AP - First Read -** Steve provided background on development of Board Policies and Administrative Procedures using CCLC templates. He shared the Google Doc currently shared for inviting comments and reviewed the AP 6620 "Naming of Facilities" and explained key points including:
	    ● Naming Criteria
	    ● Acceptable Forms of donations
	    ● Replacement of a Name
	Member comments included the following:
	    ● Language in the AP appears to be weighted towards monetary contributions, although "service" does appear in a few locations. Under B, perhaps "service" should also be mentioned to read "That has made a major, significant financial or service contribution to the College."
	    ● Consider including other than just the V.P. Admin. Svc. and V.P. of Advancement as evaluators, such as the Board.
	    ● *Replacement of a Name* and "30 Year Language". After discussion, consensus was reached to explore alternative terms for this paragraph.
	    ● Board Policy 6620 cites the Board's authority for naming District-owned facilities and properties and that each proposal for naming a District facility or property shall be considered on its own merits. The AP provides the framework within which naming determinations can be made.
		First read of the draft Facilities Naming AP
		
		● Facilities Naming BP
		● Facilities Naming AP

	● Signage production and placement is very costly requiring that potential funding sources and efforts be thoroughly researched. David invited input and feedback on this item. He indicated that this item will return to September 8 PAG for a second reading and forwarded to the September Board.	
7.	**Review & Update the Resource Guide to Institutional Decision Making:** David reviewed the "Evaluation of the Resource Guide to Institutional Decision Making at MPC" component of the Resource Guide, indicating that a task force will be formed in fall to review the RG for the annual update. **PAG Membership (Roster)-** David invited members to review membership for the next PAG meeting and consider the inclusion of community members for added perspective. Member contributions included the following membership suggestions: ● Campus Community member or members at large. ● Long term contract employees. David invited questions. He asked all to review the role and charge of PAG as well as the membership composition and prepare to discuss and provide input at the next PAG meeting. Suzanne will contact AS, CSEA, ASMPC & MS groups for term replacements, including the faculty tri-chair role.	
8.	**Mission Statement Review Process and Roster** - David provided an overview of the process of developing the Mission Statement due for update by end of fall semester 2020 (every 6 years). He provided a recap of PAG's role using the flowchart to introduce a proposed concept of developing the Mission Statement. The Board, as the approving body for board policy, will be sought to review the process, the Mission Review Committee and provide initial direction---likely in September. David reviewed the flowchart in detail and invited comments and input. Member comments included the following: ● Involvement by the Superintendent/President is appropriate, in what capacity (chair or member?). ● Membership on this committee totals 10 persons---is this well suited and manageable? ● Broad representation to include 2 Academic Senate (or 1 AS and 1 CTA), plus the remaining 8 members...is this balanced? ● Development of the Mission Statement is largely influenced by the ACCJC. Integrated Planning including the Handbook is on the PRIE Webpage and includes relevant information that speaks to the Mission Statement (pg. 7). David indicated that the Flowchart would return to the 9/8/2020 PAG for additional input, then to the 9/23/20 Board.	r
9.	**ACCJC Mid-Term Report** - Bob introduced his role as the Accreditation Liaison Officer for the District. He referenced the 7-year cycle for which we are at the midway point as well as progress reports completed since the ACCJC visit. He reviewed the Mid-Term Report in detail; key points reviewed included the following: ● Recommendations (ACCJC side) and Actionable Improvement Plans ● The District met the previous compliance-related recommendations well within the 2 year required time ● The Mid Term Report will focus on Actionable Improvement Plans, Improvement Recommendations, Quality Focus Essays (Enrollment Management System and TracDat LMS System. ● Institutional Performance Update. ● The Resource Guide for Institutional Decision Making and Integrated Planning Handbook were produced as a result of the need to provide clarification on governance decisions, operations decisions and working conditions decisions. ● Progress on our work has been tracked through a series of bulleted statements attached to areas of responsibilities. ● Institutional Performance - SLOs and Institution Set Standards. MPC now has floors and aspirational goals (stretch goals) for each metric.	

	[Mid Term Report -DRAFT](#) Bob invited input and comments from the Committee members to improve the document. Member comments included: ● Can the Draft Report be shared with PAG for feedback and comments? A link to the [Graphics Design](#) google document version was forwarded to PAG members following the meeting.	
10.	**Next meeting- Sep. 8, 2020** **Adjournment -** meeting adjourned at approximately 3:45.	