Redistricting Monterey Peninsula Community College District Board of Trustees

August 23, 2011

Jeanne Gobalet, Ph.D.
Lapkoff & Gobalet
Demographic Research, Inc.
www.Demographers.com



Demographic Redistricting Considerations:


- ✓ Must use Census 2010 data
- ✓ Districts must have (almost) equal populations
 - ✓ Current plan's deviation is 17.9%, which is too high.
 - ✓ Trustee Area 2's population is too large, and Area 3's is too small.
- ✓ The federal Voting Rights Act applies (no retrogression permitted)

Demographers' redistricting tasks:

- 1. Developed electronic base map and a comprehensive population and voter database that was used throughout the process. Assessed Census 2010 characteristics of the current Trustee Areas.
- 2. Developed five-district draft trustee area scenarios that have equal populations (within the permitted deviation) and conform to the requirements of the federal Voting Rights Act.
- 3. Met twice with community advisory committee and refined scenario(s) until one was selected (Scenario B1).

Next steps:

- 4. Prepare preclearance submission (after adoption of plan by Board of Trustees).
- 5. Provide detailed documentation for adopted plan to College, County Office of Education, Registrar of Voters.



Monterey Peninsula College Recommended Plan (B1) and Current Plan


*VAP = Voting Age Population (aged 18+)


**CVAP = Citizen Voting Age Population


				Percent of trustee area's population that was:								
				Hispanic/Latino		Spanish Surna	ame	Asian		African American		
						estimated						
			Percent			**CVAP	Registered	Voters Nov				
Current Plan	Population	Deviation	Deviation	Total pop	VAP*	2005-09		2010		VAP*		VAP*
1	25,306	-335	-1.3%	51.1%	44.1%	20.6%	19.7%	15.9%	10.1%	11.2%	9.3%	10.2%
2	27,983	2,342	9.1%	25.2%	21.0%	15.2%	14.8%	13.3%	19.4%	20.6%	8.1%	7.8%
3	23,406	-2,235	-8.7%	15.6%	13.2%	9.6%	7.9%	6.6%	9.7%	9.5%	3.4%	3.2%
4	24,773	-868	-3.4%	9.6%	8.4%	7.0%	5.6%	4.9%	8.0%	7.3%	1.8%	1.6%
5	26,737	1,096	4.3%	7.3%	6.0%	3.5%	3.8%	3.2%	4.9%	4.3%	1.0%	0.7%
Total	128,205	4,577	17.9%	21.8%	17.9%	10.4%	9.1%	7.3%	10.6%	10.5%	4.8%	4.6%
Plan B1 8/3/11 (Recommended)												
1	26,981	1,340	5.2%	49.1%	42.4%	20.1%	19.2%	15.5%	10.5%	11.5%	9.2%	10.0%
2	26,308	667	2.6%	25.6%	21.3%	15.3%	15.0%	13.6%	19.5%	20.9%	8.1%	7.9%
3	25,584	-57	-0.2%	14.9%	12.8%	9.4%	7.8%	6.5%	9.9%	9.6%	3.6%	3.3%
4	24,773	-868	-3.4%	9.6%	8.4%	7.0%	5.6%	4.9%	8.0%	7.3%	1.8%	1.6%
5	24,559	-1,082	-4.2%	7.3%	6.0%	3.5%	3.9%	3.3%	4.3%	3.9%	0.6%	0.5%
Total	128,205	2,422	9.4%	21.8%	17.9%	10.4%	9.1%	7.3%	10.6%	10.5%	4.8%	4.6%
Plan Comparisons												
Current Plan & Plan B1 Differences (regrogression assessme				ent):								
1	1,675			-2.0%	-1.7%	-0.5%	-0.5%	-0.4%	0.4%	0.3%	-0.1%	-0.2%
2	-1,675			0.4%	0.3%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%
3	2,178			-0.7%	-0.4%	-0.3%	-0.1%	-0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
4	0			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
5	-2,178			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-0.6%	-0.4%	-0.4%	-0.3%


Territory that would change circled in red:


- 1. Shift from TA 3 to TA 2 (CSUMB campus)
- 2. Most of Seaside
 Highlands from TA2 to
 TA 3.
- 3. More of the city of Monterey in TA 3.














Questions?

Jeanne Gobalet, Ph.D.

Lapkoff & Gobalet
Demographic Research, Inc.
www.Demographers.com