### **Monterey Peninsula Community College District**

## **Governing Board Agenda**

September 27, 2011

New Business Agenda Item No. B

President's Office College Area

-								
P	24	$\wedge$	-	$\mathbf{a}$	-	•		٠
	•	ŧ,	13	ŧJ		4	L.	
_	-	~	•	•	~		_	-

That the Governing Board hold a public hearing to receive public comments on the plan to redraw trustee area boundaries to address changes in population identified in the 2010 Census.

#### Background:

**Budgetary Implications:** 

In accordance with the redistricting process approved by the Board at the May 24, 2011 meeting, the plans, including the MPC trustee area redistricting committee's recommended Plan B-1, were presented for discussion and an initial public hearing at the August meeting. There were no public concerns voiced regarding the recommended plan. The next step in the approved process is to hold a second public hearing on the recommended plan followed by consideration/action by the Board at the September meeting. Following adoption, the redistricting plan will be submitted to the Department of Justice for preclearance.

None.	
	ING: Trustee area redistricting plan B-1 recommended by the MPC Citizens visory Committee.
Recommended By:	Douglas R. Garrison, Superintendent/President
Prepared By:	Vicki Nakamura, Assistant to the President
Agenda Approval:	Douglas R. Garrison Superintendent/President

### **Monterey Peninsula Community College District**

## Governing Board Agenda

September 27, 2011

New Business Agenda Item No. C

President's Office College Area

#### Proposal:

That the Governing Board approve a resolution to adjust trustee area boundaries consistent with Redistricting Plan B-1 recommended by the MPC Citizens Redistricting Advisory Committee.

#### **Background:**

The Governing Board approved a redistricting process and appointed a ten member MPC Citizens Redistricting Advisory Committee representative of the five trustee areas to review 2010 Census data and consider plans for the adjustment of trustee area boundaries. The committee was assisted by the District's demographic consultant, Dr. Jeanne Gobalet, and the committee's work was facilitated by Ms. Fran Gaver.

The committee met twice in July and August to review Dr. Gobalet's demographic evaluation and consider options for redrawing trustee area district boundaries to ensure equal population distribution with the allowed 10% deviation. The 2010 Census showed the District has a total population of 128,205. The ideal population in each of the five trustee areas should be 25,641 or 1/5 of the total population. Dr. Gobalet determined trustee area 2 (which includes Marina and a portion of Seaside) had gained in population and trustee area 3 (covering Monterey and Del Rey Oaks) had lost population.

The committee reviewed scenarios that would result in minimal change to the boundaries and suggested revisions to strengthen communities of interest in the Marina and Seaside trustee areas. The committee also considered precinct boundaries and possible retrogressive effects. (Retrogression occurs when any change, such as a boundary adjustment, reduces the ability of members of groups protected under the Voting Rights Act to elect a representative of their choice. The federal Department of Justice considers retrogression when evaluating the redistricting plan for preclearance.) After much discussion, the committee recommended a redistricting plan, B-1, for adoption by the Board. Plan B-1 adjusts the boundaries of the current plan as follows:

- Seaside Highlands area is moved from trustee area 2 to trustee area 1,
- All of CSUMB is now in trustee area 1,
- A large portion of the Jack's Peak area is moved from trustee area 5 to trustee area 3. Plan B-1 has minor retrogressive effects for trustee area 5 only and largely follows precinct boundaries, facilitating implementation of the plan.

The maps for Plan B-1 and other plans considered by the committee along with a chart showing the demographic characteristics are attached for the Board's review. Following the redistricting process approved by Board, the plans, including the committee's recommendation, were presented for discussion

and an initial public hearing at the August 23, 2011 Board meeting. There were no public comments received at the hearing. The next step in the redistricting process is to hold a second public hearing with consideration/adoption of a final plan by the Board at the September 27, 2011 meeting.

Following adoption, the redistricting plan will be submitted to the Department of Justice for preclearance.

_	cover expenses for phases 2-4 of approximately \$34,000 for the consultant's on work has been budgeted in FY 2011-12.
	<b>BE IT RESOLVED,</b> That Resolution No. 2011-2012/31 to adjust trustee area sistent with Redistricting Plan B-1, as recommended by the MPC Citizens visory Committee, be approved.
Recommended By:	Douglas R. Garrison, Superintendent/President
Prepared By:	Vidii NoKamur

Agenda Approval:

**Budgetary Implications:** 

Douglas R. Garrison, Superintendent/President

Vicki Nakamura, Assistant to the President

/c:/my docs/board/Trustee Area Redistricting Plan Approval 2011 -27.doc

#### MONTEREY PENINSULA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

#### RESOLUTION NO. 2011-2012/31

# RESOLUTION ADOPTING A FINAL REDISTRICTING PLAN FOR THE MONTEREY PENINSULA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT TRUSTEE AREAS BASED UPON THE 2010 CENSUS

WHEREAS, Education Code Section 5019.5 requires community college districts to evaluate trustee areas for population equality and adjust the boundaries, if necessary; and

WHEREAS, the Governing Board of the Monterey Peninsula Community College District approved formation of the MPC Citizens Redistricting Advisory Committee and appointed 2 representatives from each of the five trustee areas to evaluate population and demographic data from the 2010 Census and recommend appropriate changes in trustee area boundaries in order to ensure compliance with state and federal law; and,

WHEREAS, the Governing Board retained the firm of Lapkoff & Gobalet Demographic Research, Inc. to assist the District and the Committee in evaluating 2010 Census data and developing various redistricting plans; and

WHEREAS, on July 13, 2011, and August 3, 2011, the Committee convened two public meetings to review redistricting options, and agreed to recommend a final redistricting plan (Plan B-1) to the Governing Board; and,

WHEREAS, the draft redistricting plans were reviewed by the Governing Board at regularly scheduled meetings on August 23, 2011, and September 27, 2011, and public comment was solicited and considered at public hearings conducted at the same meetings;


**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED** that the Governing Board of the Monterey Peninsula Community College District adopt the final redistricting plan (Plan B-1); and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the final redistricting plan (Plan B-1) be submitted to the Monterey County Superintendent of Schools and the Monterey County Registrar of Voters.

ADOPTED, SIGNED AND APPROVED this 27th day of September, 2011.

BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT	MONTEREY	PENINSULA
Lynn Davis, Chair		
ATTEST:		
Douglas R. Garrison, Ed.D., Secret	tarv	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA	)	
MONTEREY COUNTY	)	
was duly adopted by the I	Board of Tru of held on t	certify that the foregoing Resolution No. 2011-2012/31 ustees of the Monterey Peninsula Community College the 27th <sup>th</sup> day of September, 2011 and that it was so
AYES:		
NOES:		
ABSENT:		
ABSTENTIONS:		
	Ву:	Douglas R. Garrison, Ed.D. Secretary, Board of Trustees of the Monterey Peninsula Community College District



#### Monterey Peninsula College Trustee Area Plan Comparisons

Current Plan
Plan A 7/13/2011
Plan B 7/30/2011

\*VAP = Voting Age Population (aged 18+)

\*\*CVAP = Citizen Voting Age Population

Pan Br   Recommended    Par	Plan A		7/13/2011	**CVAP = Citizen Voting Age Population									
Pain C	Plan B		7/30/2011										
Current Plan						area's po	pulation that						
Current Plan	Plan C		7/31/2011		Hispanic/Latino			Spanish Surna	ame	Asian		African Ame	rican
Current Plan				Porcont				Pogietorod	Votore Nov				
1	Current Plan	Population	Deviation		Total non	<b>\/ΔP*</b>				Total non	VΔP*	Total non	<b>\/Δ</b> P*
2	1												
3	2												
Section   128,056   4,578   1,066   4,394   1,796   2,196   1,196	3			-8.7%						9.7%			
Total 128,206 4,577 17.9% 21.8% 17.9% 10.4% 9.1% 7.3% 10.6% 10.5% 4.8% 4.6% PPIAR A7/13/11  1	4	24,773						5.6%					1.6%
Pinn A 7/13/11	5												
1		128,205	4,577	17.9%	21.8%	17.9%	10.4%	9.1%	7.3%	10.6%	10.5%	4.8%	4.6%
2													
3	•												
4													
Total   128,005   2,368   9,27%   2,18%   17,9%   10,4%   9,19%   7,37%   10,67%   10,67%   10,67%   4,67%   4,67%   10,07%   10,07%   10,07%   10,07%   4,67%   4,67%   10,07%   10,07%   10,07%   10,07%   10,07%   4,67%   10,0													
Total 128,205 2,386 9,2% 21.8% 17.9% 10.4% 9,1% 7.3% 10.6% 10.6% 4.8% 4.8% 4.8% 10.4% 11.8% 15.7% 10.6% 10.8% 4.8% 4.8% 10.1% 12.8% 10.4% 15.7% 15.7% 15.7% 10.6% 10.6% 10.8% 10.8% 10.1% 12.8% 13.8% 14.9% 15.7% 15.7% 15.5%													
Plan B 730/11	Total												
1		.,	,,,,,										
2	1	26.647	1.006	3.9%	49.2%	42.6%	20.1%	19.4%	15.7%	10.6%	11.6%	9.3%	10.1%
4	2		1,001	3.9%			15.3%	14.9%	13.5%	19.3%	20.6%	8.0%	
Section   1982   1982   1982   1982   1983   1984   1985	3	25,584	-57	-0.2%	14.9%	12.8%	9.4%	7.8%	6.5%	9.9%	9.6%	3.6%	3.3%
Total 128,205 2,088 8.1% 21.8% 17.9% 10.4% 9.1% 7.3% 10.6% 10.5% 4.8% 4.6% Plan Bi 8/3/11 (Recommended)  1 26,881 1,340 5.2% 42.6% 22.3% 15.3% 15.0% 13.6% 19.5% 29.9% 8.1% 7.9% 10.0% 13.6% 19.5% 10.5% 11.5% 9.2% 10.0% 13.6% 19.5% 10.5% 11.5% 10.5% 11.5% 10.5% 11.5% 10.5% 11.5% 10.5% 11.5% 10.5% 11.5% 10.5% 11.5% 10.0% 13.6% 19.5% 20.5% 10.5% 10.5% 11.5% 10.5% 10.5% 11.5% 10.5% 11.5% 10.5% 11.5% 10.5% 11.5% 10.5% 11.5% 10.5% 11.5% 10.5	4	24,773						5.6%					
Plan B1 8/3/11 (Recommended)	5		,								0.0,0		
1			2,088	8.1%	21.8%	17.9%	10.4%	9.1%	7.3%	10.6%	10.5%	4.8%	4.6%
2	Plan B1 8/3/11 (Recommend												
3	1												
4													
Section   1,082   4,2%   7,3%   6,0%   3,5%   3,9%   3,3%   4,3%   3,9%   0,6%   0,5%     Total   128,205   2,422   9,4%   21.8%   17,9%   10,4%   9,1%   7,3%   10,6%   10,5%   4,8%   4,6%     Plan C 7731/11   26,647   1,006   3.9%   49,2%   42,6%   20,1%   14,9%   15,7%   10,6%   11,6%   9,3%   10,1%     2   26,642   1,001   3,9%   25,7%   21,5%   15,3%   14,9%   13,5%   19,3%   20,6%   80,0%   7,8%     3   26,171   530   2,1%   14,5%   12,4%   8,9%   7,4%   6,0%   9,9%   9,7%   3,1%   2,2%     4   24,844   -797   -3,1%   10,0%   8,8%   7,4%   5,9%   5,3%   7,6%   7,0%   2,2%   2,0%     6   23,901   -1740   -6,8%   7,1%   5,9%   3,4%   3,6%   3,1%   4,6%   3,9%   0,8%   0,5%     Total   128,205   2,746   10,7%   21.8%   17,9%   10,4%   9,1%   7,3%   10,6%   10,5%   4,8%   4,6%     Plan Comparisons   Current Plan & Plan A													
Total 128,205 2,422 9.4% 21.8% 17.9% 10.4% 9.1% 7.3% 10.6% 10.5% 4.8% 4.6% Plan C 7/31/11  1													
Plan C 7/31/11													
1 26,647 1,006 3,3% 49,2% 42,6% 20,1% 19,4% 15,7% 10,6% 11,6% 9,3% 10,1% 26,642 1001 3,3% 25,7% 21,5% 15,3% 14,9% 13,5% 19,3% 20,6% 8,0% 7,8% 3 26,171 530 2,1% 14,5% 12,4% 8,9% 7,4% 6,0% 9,9% 9,7% 3,1% 2,8% 4 24,844 7,97 -3,1% 10,0% 8,8% 7,4% 5,9% 5,5% 7,6% 7,0% 2,2% 2,0% 6 23,001 -1740 -6,8% 7,1% 5,9% 3,4% 3,6% 3,1% 4,6% 39,9% 0,0% 0,5% 0,5% 1010 11,28,205 2,746 10,7% 21,8% 17,9% 10,4% 9,1% 7,3% 10,6% 10,5% 4,8% 4,6% 23,001 1,740 -6,8% 7,1% 5,9% 3,4% 3,6% 3,1% 4,6% 39,9% 0,6% 0,5% 10,1% 128,205 2,746 10,7% 21,8% 17,9% 10,4% 9,1% 7,3% 10,6% 10,5% 4,8% 4,6% 24,84% 10,1% 10,1% 10,1% 10,1% 10,1% 10,5% 10,5% 10,1% 10,1% 10,1% 10,1% 10,5% 10,1% 10,5% 10,1%		.,	,										
2	1	26.647	1.006	3.9%	49.2%	42.6%	20.1%	19.4%	15.7%	10.6%	11.6%	9.3%	10.1%
4	2	26,642	1001	3.9%	25.7%	21.5%	15.3%	14.9%	13.5%	19.3%	20.6%	8.0%	7.8%
6 23,901 -1740 -6.8%	3	26,171	530	2.1%	14.5%	12.4%	8.9%	7.4%	6.0%	9.9%	9.7%	3.1%	2.8%
Total   128,205   2,746   10.7%   21.8%   17.9%   10.4%   9.1%   7.3%   10.6%   10.5%   4.8%   4.6%	4												
Plan Comparisons Current Plan & Plan A  Differences (retrogression assessment):  1	6												
Current Plan & Plan A   Differences (retrogression assessment):   1	lotal	128,205	2,746	10.7%	21.8%	17.9%	10.4%	9.1%	7.3%	10.6%	10.5%	4.8%	4.6%
1	Plan Comparisons												
2			(retrogression	on assessme									
2,114	•												
4 0 0 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%													
Current Plan & Plan B   Differences (retrogression assessment):     1.8%   -1.6%   -0.5%   -0.4%   -0.3%   -0.2%   -0.3%   -0.5%   -0.4%   -0.3%   -0.5%   -0.4%   -0.3%   -0.2%   -1.341   -1.8%   -1.6%   -0.5%   -0.4%   -0.3%   -0.5%   -0.1%   -0.1%   -0.1%   -0.0%   -0.0%   -0.0%   -0.2%   -1.341   -1.8%   -1.6%   -0.5%   -0.4%   -0.3%   -0.5%   -0.1%   -0.2%   -0.1%   -0.3%   -0.1%   -0.5%   -0.5%   -0.4%   -0.5%   -0.5%   -0.4%   -0.5%   -0.5%   -0.5%   -0.4%   -0.5%   -0.2%   -0.4%   -0.3%   -0.2%   -0.4%   -0.5%   -0.5%   -0.4%   -0.5%   -0.5%   -0.4%   -0.5%   -0.5%   -0.5%   -0.4%   -0.5%   -0.5%   -0.4%   -0.5%   -0.5%   -0.4%   -0.5%   -0.5%   -0.4%   -0.5%   -0.5%   -0.5%   -0.4%   -0.5%   -0.5%   -0.4%   -0.5%   -0.5%   -0.4%   -0.5%   -0.5%   -0.4%   -0.5%   -0.5%   -0.2%   -0.4%   -0.4%   -0.5%   -0.2%   -0.4%   -0.5%   -0.2%   -0.4%   -0.4%   -0.5%   -0.2%   -0.4%   -0.4%													
Current Plan & Plan B	5												
1 1,341	Coment Blan & Blan B		/watwa awa a air										
2	1		(retrogressio	गा वऽऽएऽऽगाए		-1 6%	-0.5%	-0.4%	-0.3%	0.5%	0.4%	0.0%	-0.2%
3	2												
4         0         0.0%<	3												
Current Plan & Plan B1         Differences (retrogression assessment):         0         0.0%	4	0			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
1 0 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0	5	-2,178			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-0.6%	-0.4%	-0.4%	-0.3%
1 0 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0	Current Plan & Plan B1	Differences	(retroaressio	on assessme	nt):								
3     0     0.0%     0			( )			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
4     0     0.0%     0.5%     0.4%     0.0%     0	2	0			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
5         587         -0.4%         -0.4%         -0.5%         -0.4%         -0.5%         0.1%         0.1%         -0.5%         -0.5%           Current Plan & Plan C         Differences (retrogression assessment):           1         1,341         -1.8%         -1.6%         -0.5%         -0.4%         -0.3%         0.5%         0.4%         0.0%         -0.2%           2         -1,341         0.5%         0.5%         0.1%         0.1%         -0.1%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%           3         2,765         -1.1%         -0.8%         -0.8%         -0.5%         -0.6%         0.2%         0.1%         -0.3%         -0.4%           4         71         0.4%         0.4%         0.4%         0.3%         0.4%         -0.5%         -0.2%         0.4%         0.4%	3												
Current Plan & Plan C         Differences (retrogression assessment):         -1.8%         -1.6%         -0.5%         -0.4%         -0.3%         0.5%         0.4%         0.0%         -0.2%           1         1,341         -1.8%         -1.6%         -0.5%         0.1%         0.1%         0.1%         0.1%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.4%         0.4%         0.4%         0.4%         0.4%         0.4%         0.5%         -0.5%         -0.5%         -0.0%         0.2%         0.1%         -0.3%         0.4%           4         71         0.4%         0.4%         0.4%         0.3%         0.4%         -0.5%         -0.2%         0.1%         0.4%         0.4%	4												
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5	587			-0.4%	-0.4%	-0.5%	-0.4%	-0.5%	0.1%	0.1%	-0.5%	-0.5%
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Current Plan & Plan C	Differences	(retrogressio	on assessme									
3 2,765	1	1,341			-1.8%								
4 71 0.4% 0.4% 0.4% 0.3% 0.4% -0.5% -0.2% 0.4% 0.4%	2												
	3												
3 -2,030 -0.2% -0.1% -0.1% -0.2% -0.1% -0.3% -0.4% -0.4% -0.2%	4												
	J	-2,836			-U.Z%	-0.1%	-0.1%	-0.2%	-0.1%	-0.3%	-0.4%	-0.4%	-0.2%

