MONTEREY PENINSULA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

MEASURE I GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS ELECTION 2002

AUDIT REPORT

JUNE 30, 2013

MONTEREY PENINSULA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

MEASURE I GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS ELECTION 2002

FINANCIAL AUDIT

JUNE 30, 2013

JUNE 30, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION	
Independent Auditors' Report	1
Measure I General Obligation Bonds Election 2002	
Balance Sheet	3
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance	4
Notes to Financial Statements	5
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT	
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards	11
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	
Financial Statement Findings and Recommendations	14
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	15

FINANCIAL SECTION

Vavrinek, Trine, Day & Co., LLP Certified Public Accountants

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Governing Board and Citizens' Oversight Committee Monterey Peninsula Community College District Monterey, California

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) of Monterey Peninsula Community College District (the District) and the related notes to the financial statements, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statement in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting principles used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) of the District at June 30, 2013, and the changes in financial position for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I), and are not intended to present fairly the financial position and changes in financial position of the District in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

lauriner. Time Day a Co., Ll.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 19, 2013, on our consideration of the District's Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, grant agreements, and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) internal control over financial reporting and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Rancho Cucamonga, California

December 19, 2013

BALANCE SHEET JUNE 30, 2013

ASSETS	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,761
Investments	25,632,267
Accounts receivable	26,303

 Due from other funds
 1,069,400

 Total Assets
 \$ 26,731,731

LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE

Liabilities:

Accounts payable \$ 919,823

Fund Balance:

Restricted

Capital projects 25,811,908 **Total Liabilities and Fund Balance** \$26,731,731

See the accompanying notes to financial statements.

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2013

REVENUES	
Interest revenues	\$ 26,304
EXPENDITURES	
Salaries and benefits	24
Services and operating expenditures	3,260,864
Capital outlay	12,224,618
Total Expenditures	15,485,506
DEFICIENCY OF REVENUES OVER EXPENDITURES OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)	(15,459,202)
Other sources Other sources	36,975,456
Other uses	(36,595,581)
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)	379,875
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	(15,079,327)
FUND BALANCE, BEGINNING OF YEAR	40,891,235
FUND BALANCE, END OF YEAR	\$ 25,811,908

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2013

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES

The accounting policies of the Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

Financial Reporting Entity

The audited financial statements include only the Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) of Monterey Peninsula Community College District. This Fund was established to account for the receipt of proceeds of general obligation bond issuances and the expenditures of the proceeds under the General Obligation Bonds Election of November 2008. These financial statements are not intended to present fairly the financial position and changes in financial position of the District in compliance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) are accounted for in a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, and expenditures. Resources are allocated to, and accounted for, in the funds based upon the purpose for which they are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled.

Basis of Accounting

The Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) is accounted for using a flow of current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. With this measurement focus, only current assets and current liabilities generally are included on the balance sheet. The statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance reports on the sources (revenues and other financing sources) and uses (expenditures and other financing uses) of current financial resources. These fund financial statements do not include the adoption of GASB Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, as the District was not required to adopt GASB Statement No. 54 under the reporting requirements of GASB Statement No. 35.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

Annual budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for all governmental funds. The District's governing board adopts an operating budget no later than July 1 in accordance with State law. A public hearing must be conducted to receive comments prior to adoption. The District's governing board satisfied these requirements. The Board revises this budget during the year to give consideration to unanticipated revenue and expenditures primarily resulting from events unknown at the time of budget adoption. The District employs budget control by minor object and by individual appropriation accounts. Expenditures cannot legally exceed appropriations by major object account.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2013

Encumbrances

The District utilizes an encumbrance accounting system under which purchase orders, contracts, and other commitments for the expenditure of monies are recorded in order to reserve that portion of the applicable appropriation. Encumbrances are liquidated when the commitments are paid and all outstanding encumbrances are liquidated at June 30 since they do not constitute expenditures or liabilities.

Fund Balance - Governmental Funds

As of June 30, 2013, the fund balance of the Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds was classified as follows:

Restricted - amounts that can be spent only for specific purposes because of constitutional provisions or enabling legislation, or because of constraints that are externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or the laws or regulations of other governments.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures/expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

NOTE 2 - INVESTMENTS

Policies and Practices

The District is authorized under California Government Code to make direct investments in local agency bonds, notes, or warrants within the State; U.S. Treasury instruments; registered State warrants or treasury notes; securities of the U.S. Government, or its agencies; bankers acceptances; commercial paper; certificates of deposit placed with commercial banks and/or savings and loan companies; repurchase or reverse repurchase agreements; medium term corporate notes; shares of beneficial interest issued by diversified management companies, certificates of participation, obligations with first priority security; and collateralized mortgage obligations.

Investment in County Treasury

The District is considered to be an involuntary participant in an external investment pool as the District is required to deposit all receipts and collections of monies with their County Treasurer (*Education Code* Section 41001). The fair value of the District's investment in the pool is reported in the accounting financial statements at amounts based upon the District's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by the County Treasurer for the entire portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by the County Treasurer, which is recorded on the amortized cost basis.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2013

General Authorizations

Limitations as they relate to interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk are indicated in the schedules below:

	Maximum	Maximum	Maximum
Authorized	Remaining	Percentage	Investment
Investment Type	Maturity	of Portfolio	in One Issuer
Local Agency Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
Registered State Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
U.S. Agency Securities	5 years	None	None
Banker's Acceptance	180 days	40%	30%
Commercial Paper	270 days	25%	10%
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	5 years	30%	None
Repurchase Agreements	1 year	None	None
Reverse Repurchase Agreements	92 days	20% of base	None
Medium-Term Corporate Notes	5 years	30%	None
Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Money Market Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Mortgage Pass-Through Securities	5 years	20%	None
County Pooled Investment Funds	N/A	None	None
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	N/A	None	None
Joint Powers Authority Pools	N/A	None	None

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. The District manages its exposure to interest rate risk by investing in the Monterey County Investment Pool. The District maintains an investment of \$25,632,267 with the Monterey County Investment Pool. The fair value of this investment is approximately \$25,496,765 with an average weighted maturity of 555 days.

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The District's investment in the Monterey County Investment Pool is not required to be rated, nor has it been rated as of June 30, 2013.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2013

NOTE 3 - ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

The accounts receivable at June 30, 2013, in the amount of \$26,303 represents interest earnings that have yet to be received. All amounts have been determined by management to be fully collectible.

NOTE 4 - INTERFUND TRANSACTIONS

Interfund receivable balance at June 30, 2013, consists of the following:

Due from Capital Outlay Projects Fund\$ 994,354Due from General Fund75,046Total Due From Other Funds\$ 1,069,400

NOTE 5 - ACCOUNTS PAYABLE

The accounts payable balance at June 30, 2013, represents amounts owed to vendors for both ongoing and completed construction projects in the amount of \$919,823.

NOTE 6 - FUND BALANCE

Fund balance is composed of the following element:

Restricted Capital projects

\$ 25,811,908

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2013

NOTE 7 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

As of June 30, 2013, the District had the following commitments with respect to the unfinished capital projects:

	Remaining	Expected
	Construction	Dates of
CAPITAL PROJECTS	Commitments	Completion
Furniture and Equipment	\$ 67,770	2015-2016
Humanities, Business - Humanities, Student Services	1,877,456	2013-2014
Infrastructure - Phase III	6,480	2015-2016
Life Science/Physical Science	987,981	2013-2014
Pool/Tennis Courts Renovation	52,193	2013-2014
Swing Space/Interim Housing	2,862	2015-2016
Theater	129,807	2013-2014
Arts Complex	100,000	2014-2015
College Center Renovation	121,640	2014-2015
	\$ 3,346,189	

The projects are funded through a combination of general obligation bonds and capital project apportionments from the State Chancellor's Office.

Litigation

The District is involved in various litigation arising from the normal course of business. In the opinion of management and legal counsel, the disposition of all litigation pending is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the overall financial position of the Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) at June 30, 2013.

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORTS



Certified Public Accountants

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Governing Board and Citizens' Oversight Committee Monterey Peninsula Community College District Monterey, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the accompanying financial statements of the Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) of Monterey Peninsula Community College District (the District) and the related notes to the financial statements as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, and have issued our report thereon dated December 19, 2013.

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I), and are not intended to present fairly the financial position and changes in financial position of the District in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District's Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the District's Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. Given these limitations, during our audit, we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Rancho Cucamonga, California

Vaurinex. Time Day & Co., LLP.

December 19, 2013

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS JUNE 30, 2013

None reported.

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2013

None reported.

MONTEREY PENINSULA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

MEASURE I GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS ELECTION 2002

PERFORMANCE AUDIT

JUNE 30, 2013

PERFORMANCE AUDIT JUNE 30, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON PERFORMANCE	1
Authority for Issuance	2
Purpose of Issuance	2
Authority for the Audit	2
Objectives of the Audit	3
Scope of the Audit	3
Procedures Performed	4
Conclusion	4
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	5
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	6



Certified Public Accountants

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON PERFORMANCE

Governing Board and Citizens' Oversight Committee Monterey Peninsula Community College District Monterey, California

We were engaged to conduct a performance audit of Monterey Peninsula Community College District's (the District) Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds for the year ended June 30, 2013.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with the standards applicable to performance audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our conclusion based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Our audit was limited to the objectives listed within the report which includes determining the District's compliance with the performance requirements as referred to in Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution. Management is responsible for the District's compliance with those requirements.

In planning and performing our performance audit, we obtained an understanding of the District's internal control in order to determine if the internal controls were adequate to help ensure the District's compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

The results of our tests indicated that the District expended Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds only for the specific projects approved by the voters, in accordance with Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution.

Rancho Cucamonga, California

laurinex. Time Day a Co., Ll.

December 19, 2013

PERFORMANCE AUDIT JUNE 30, 2013

AUTHORITY FOR ISSUANCE

The Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds were issued pursuant to the Constitution and laws of the State of California (the State), including the provisions of Chapters 1 and 1.5 of Part 10 of the California *Education Code* and other applicable provisions of law.

The Bonds were authorized to be issued pursuant to a request of the District made by a resolution adopted by the Governing Board of the District.

The District received authorization at an election held on November 5, 2002, to issue bonds of the District in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$145,000,000 to finance the construction and modernization of certain District property and facilities, the acquisition of equipment, and to pay the costs of issuance associated with the Bonds. The proposition required approval by at least 55 percent of votes cast by eligible voters within the District (the Authorization).

In December 2005, the District issued the 2005 General Obligation Refunding Bonds in the amount of \$33,304,385. The Bonds were used to advance refund a portion of the outstanding Bonds from the first series of the authorized Bonds, Series A. The second and third series of authorized Bonds issued was in January 2008; the District issued Series B in the amount of \$9,004,530 and Series C in the amount of \$95,994,770, respectively.

PURPOSE OF ISSUANCE

The net proceeds of the Bonds issued under the 2002 Authorization will be used for the purposes specified in the District bond proposition submitted at the Election, which include the financing of the construction, and modernization of certain District property and facilities, the acquisition of equipment and to pay the costs of issuance associated with the Bonds.

AUTHORITY FOR THE AUDIT

On November 7, 2000, California voters approved Proposition 39, the Smaller Classes, Safer Schools, and Financial Accountability Act. Proposition 39 amended portions of the California Constitution to provide for the issuance of general obligation bonds by school districts, community college districts, or county offices of education, "for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of school facilities, or the acquisition or lease of rental property for school facilities", upon approval by 55 percent of the electorate. In addition to reducing the approval threshold from two-thirds to 55 percent, Proposition 39 and the enacting legislation (AB 1908 and AB 2659) requires the following accountability measures as codified in *Education Code* Sections 15278-15282:

1. Requires that the proceeds from the sale of the bonds be used only for the purposes specified in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution, and not for any other purpose, including teacher and administrator salaries and other school operating expenses.

PERFORMANCE AUDIT JUNE 30, 2013

- 2. The District must list the specific school facilities projects to be funded in the ballot measure, and must certify that the governing board has evaluated safety, class size reduction, and information technology needs in developing the project list.
- 3. Requires the District to appoint a citizens' oversight committee.
- 4. Requires the District to conduct an annual independent financial audit and performance audit in accordance with the *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States of the bond proceeds until all of the proceeds have been expended.
- 5. Requires the District to conduct an annual independent performance audit to ensure that the funds have been expended only on the specific projects listed.

OBJECTIVES OF THE AUDIT

- 1. Determine whether expenditures charged to the Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds have been made in accordance with the Bond project list approved by the voters through the approval of Measure I.
- 2. Determine whether salary transactions charged to the Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds were in support of Measure I and not for District general administration or operations.

SCOPE OF THE AUDIT

The scope of our performance audit covered the period of July 1, 2012 to June 30, 2013. The population of expenditures tested included all object and project codes associated with the bond projects. The propriety of expenditures for capital projects and maintenance projects funded through other State or local funding sources, other than proceeds of the bonds, were not included within the scope of the audit. Expenditures incurred subsequent to June 30, 2013, were not reviewed or included within the scope of our audit or in this report.

PERFORMANCE AUDIT JUNE 30, 2013

PROCEDURES PERFORMED

We obtained the general ledger and the project expenditure reports prepared by the District for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013, for the Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I). Within the fiscal year audited, we obtained the actual invoices and other supporting documentation for a sample of expenditures to ensure compliance with the requirements of Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution and the Measure I as to the approved Bond projects list. We performed the following procedures:

- Determine District procedures for disbursement of funds related to the voter approved Measure I General
 Obligation Bonds were applied in accordance with laws and regulations, as well as policies approved by
 the Board of trustees. This will be accomplished through the inspection of specified documents
 evidencing certain types of transactions and detailed attributes thereof; including, but not limited to, the
 specific documents related to bid procedures for contracts and services, invoices for services rendered,
 and other appropriate documents deemed necessary to provide a basis for the results of our objective.
- 2. Review the detailed accounting of expenditures to determine if proceeds are being spent on administrative salaries or any other expense that would otherwise be the obligation of the General Fund.
- 3. From a sample of construction expenditures from the detailed accounting of expenditures, review expenditures to determine if proceeds expended are for specific projects as listed in the voter approved bond language. Expenditures from all projects will be included in the sample.

CONCLUSION

We reviewed construction expenditures totaling 98 percent of all expenditures and other uses form the detailed accounting of expenditures. Our sample included transactions totaling \$50,832,082 of the total expenditures and other uses of \$52,081,087. The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, Monterey Peninsula Community College District has properly accounted for the expenditures held in the Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) and that such expenditures were made for authorized Bond projects. There were no salaries of administrators charged to the Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) for District general administration or operations. District procedures for disbursement of funds were applied in accordance with laws and regulations, as well as policies approved by the Governing Board.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS JUNE 30, 2013

None reported.

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2013

None reported.